

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,198	03/09/2006	David Barras	Q93357	3686
23373 7590 0800/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYL-VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			KAYES, SEAN PHILLIP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, - 0	2833		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	10/571,198	BARRAS ET AL.				
Examiner		Art Unit				
	SEAN KAYES	2833				

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
a) \(\time\) The period for reply expires \(\time\) information from the minaling date of the intal repeators, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 760.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee aware been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of evalencies not extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension provides are included 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, MOTICE OF APPEAL.
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 24,25, and 27 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. \(\subseteq \text{ for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \text{ will not be entered, or b) } \(\subseteq will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <u>24,25 and 27</u> .
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 15-23 and 28.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. Some request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contest the interpretation previously set forth. In support of this contention applicant clied two definitions for the term "inclined" and "inclined plane". The second definition has not bearing on the discussion at hand, because it is not recited in the claim. As applicant points out the claims recite and inclined position not an inclined plane as arqued. As pipicant points out the term inclined merely entail a slope. A slope of zero degrees is a slope. A slope of 90 degrees is a slope. As slope of year of the prior and of encord does have an inclined position netative to the plan or degrees and 0 degrees is a slope as have all of the prior and the p
the display device because the two elements are not parallel.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Application No.

/Felix O. Figueroa/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2833

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 3